1 Introduction

On the May 20, 2012 (04:03:52 local time; 02:03:52 UTC), a moderate earthquake (M_L 5.9) [Scognamiglio et al. 2012, this volume] with a focal mechanism showing E-W-trending, S-dipping, reverse-faulting occurred in the eastern sector of the alluvial plain of the Po River, close to the border between the Regions of Emilia-Romagna and Lombardia (northern Italy). The tectonic structure is completely blind, but it was well known from a dense grid of seismic profiles for hydrocarbon explorations [e.g., Pieri and Groppi 1981, Toscani et al. 2009]. The earthquake triggered extensive liquefaction-induced ground effects at the surface, and caused severe structural damage to nonreinforced masonry and precast industrial buildings within the broader epicentral area. The hypocenter was at 44.89 °N, 11.23 °E, at a depth of 6.3 km [Scognamiglio et al. 2012], while the maximum acceleration was recorded in Mirandola, with peak ground acceleration 310 cm/s² and 264 cm/s² along the vertical and horizontal components, respectively [Bozzoni et al. 2012, this volume].

In this report, we focus on a zone including the Sant’Agostino, San Carlo and Mirabello villages (west Ferrara Province), which were built along an abandoned reach of the Reno River and where liquefaction phenomena were particularly diffuse, with very intense local effects (Figure 1). The map in Figure 1 is based on original data collected in the days following the mainshock (and before the event of May 29, 2012) and locally integrated with data published by ISPRA [2012]. Similar maps have also been presented by other geological teams [e.g., Decanini et al. 2012, EMERGEO Working Group 2012], including of the western sector of the epicentral area and the manifestations that occurred during the second major earthquake. However, for the aims of this report that focuses on the Sant’Agostino, San Carlo and Mirabello case study, they will be not discussed.

Based on stratigraphic reconstructions from boreholes and penetrometric tests, and on new direct measurements within numerous water wells, a preliminary conceptual hydrogeological model for the first ca. 30 m is also proposed for the investigated area. This hydrogeological model provides essential information for the liquefaction susceptibility discussed in this report. Grain-size analyses of ejected material collected a few days after the event in several locations were also carried out, and are here discussed in relation to the classical susceptibility criteria proposed by Tsuchida [1971]. In addition, a preliminary evaluation of the macroseismic intensity is performed based on the Environmental Seismic Intensity (ESI) scale [Michetti et al. 2007].

2 Earthquake-induced ground effects

A few hours after the event, a post-earthquake field survey was organized to report the coseismic effects and to compile a map of their spatial distribution (Figure 1). As this survey began a few hours after the mainshock, this provided the opportunity to observe, measure, photographically record, and map the sites where the sandy material was ejected, before the residents and local authorities started removing the liquefied sandy materials from the courtyards and roads.

During this post-event investigation, more than 150 locations were surveyed, with the focus on a zone 8 km long and 0.5 km wide, with the parameters that characterize the surface liquefaction manifestations were measured and recorded carefully. In particular, the length and width of vent fractures, and the diameter of the sand craters were measured, and samples were collected from ejected materials at representative sites. The length of the liquefaction-induced surface ruptures varied, as expected, from a few centimetres to more than 10 m, and locally they were reported as up to 50 m [Lai et al. 2012]. The width variation of the cracks ranged from a few centimetres to 30 cm. The ejection of sandy material occurred through conduits and fractures in farmed fields, although the most spectacular effects affected pavements and large-diameter water wells within the urban-
ized areas (Figure 2). As a consequence, the number of sand craters and volcanoes were significantly lower than the vent fractures, but above all, their location and geometry was strongly controlled by the artificial discontinuities within the paving and other infrastructures. The diameter in these cases ranged from 4 cm up to 40 cm.

Lateral spreading due to liquefaction and the consequent upward injection of sandy material is also well documented by electrical resistivity tomographies [Abu-Zeid et al. 2012, this volume], and this phenomenon induced structural damage within the urbanized areas, including broken pipelines and differential settling, causing minor damage to buildings locally.

In addition, short interviews with the villagers were carried out relating to the ejection of fluids and sandy material. The questions posed focused on the timing of the ejection phenomena, relative to the occurrence of the mainshock, the duration of the outflow, and the height of the ejection with respect to the ground surface. Important outcomes of these interviews were: (i) most of the sandy material within the three urban areas that was focused on (Mirabello, San Carlo, Sant’Agostino) was ejected through large diameter water wells; (ii) the ejection of sandy material started almost at the same time as the mainshock; and (iii) in the first seconds/minutes of the ejection process, clear water came to the surface first, only later mixed with sand. The duration of the phenomenon commonly lasted up to a few minutes, although at one site (44° 48' 03" N, 11° 24' 34" E) an eyewitness stated that the outpouring of water after the major sand ejection phenomenon lasted for several hours. Differences in the amounts of material and timing are likely to be due to local geological, hydrogeological and anthropogenic conditions.

For the height of the ejection, the most characteristic report is that from a well at the center of San Carlo (44° 48' 15.39"N, 11° 24' 35.14"E), where the liquefied material was ejected up to 2 m above the ground surface, and with such intensity as to damage the well. Taking into account that the depth to the water at the site was ca. 5 m (see next section), it can be assumed that the total height of the ascent was more than 7 m. Considering the average density of the outflowing material (a mixture of water and sand), this implies a fluid pressure of probably more than one bar (0.1 MPa). Similar ascent and ejection of sand through wells was triggered by the Friuli 1976, northeastern Italy, earthquake [Sirovich 1996].

To assess the macroseismic intensity in the area studied, we applied the ESI scale [Michetti et al. 2007], as the gener-
ated geological effects were widespread and extensive. Thus, taking into account the length and width of the surface ruptures, and the dimensions of vent fractures and sand boils of liquefaction phenomena, we conclude that the macroseismic intensity should be evaluated as VIII in the area of San Carlo. In particular, according to the ESI definition of intensity degrees [Michetti et al. 2007], a value of VII-VIII is appropriate in areas where fractures up to 50 cm wide and up to hundreds of meters long are commonly observed in loose alluvial deposits. Decametric cracks are common in paved roads, and liquefaction can be frequent in the epicentral area. Localized lateral spreading and settling (up to ca. 30 cm) can result in the most typical effects of sand boiling up to ca. 1 m in diameter.

3. Hydrogeological model

The conceptual shallow of the hydrogeological model of the broader Ferrara Province is characterized by the presence of two aquifer systems. The first is superficial and semi-confined or locally unconfined (A0 according to Molinari et al. [2007]), and the second is deeper and always confined (A1; Regione Emilia-Romagna and ENI-AGIP [1998]).

Within the investigated area, and based on published [Emilia-Romagna Region Open file] and unpublished stratigraphic data, the A0 aquifer system consists of silty-sandy and locally peat and sandy lenses, with variable grain-sized distributions containing a semi-confined and locally unconfined aquifer. According to interviews with the villagers, the piezometric level in many wells showed limited variations even after a long exploitation period, thus indicating that the aquifer bodies belonging to A0 are commonly hydraulically inter-connected. Only minor lenses were probably isolated, as suggested by their complete draining and a longer recharge time following a few hours of pumping.

The exploitation of this aquifer system is performed using boreholes of about 1 m in diameter, which were often excavated many years ago, commonly to a depth of 7 m to 13 m. This traditional water-well excavation and their architecture was maintained by local people up to the last decades. Before the aqueduct network reached these areas, these large diameter wells were the only means to provide the water for every domestic use.

The spatial distribution and the geometric characteristics of these highly heterogeneous aquifer units were largely influenced by the latest Quaternary geomorphological and sedimentary evolution of the territory, and especially by the presence and path of active and abandoned channels and outflow cones [e.g. Rapti-Caputo 2000]. Within the investigated area, the thickness of the aquifer varies from between a few meters to 6 m to 8 m, in agreement with the paleo-reach of the Reno River (Figure 3).

The hydraulic conductivity of the A0 aquifer system was preliminarily estimated based on the available stratigraphic data and the grain-size analyses we performed from collected samples of ejected material (see next section). According to Hazen [1911], this parameter varies from between $10^{-3}$ and $10^{-4}$ m/s, thus indicating highly permeable layers. The prin-
Principal recharge of the aquifer was primarily due to vertical infiltration from the precipitation, and secondarily to recharges via lateral infiltration from the active hydrographic network, as documented in nearby areas with a comparable hydrogeological–geomorphological setting [e.g. Rapti-Caputo 2000]. Indeed, the local alimentation is shown by high values of tritium (3-6 TU) that show that the mean residence time of the water in the underground reservoir is only 2 years to 5 years [Rapti-Caputo 2008, Rapti-Caputo and Martinelli 2009]. The piezometric level varies by about 1.5 m to 2.5 m during the hydrological year, due to the variability of both the seasonal precipitation and the hydrometric level within the hydrographic network [Rapti-Caputo 2008, ARPA GdL 2010].

Based on a campaign of measurements specifically carried out for 45 wells during the weeks following the earthquake, the water depths were about 1.4 m to 3.0 m in the interfluvial plain, showing deeper values (4 m to 5 m from the surface) in the boreholes located along the levees of the paleo-Reno River. This hydrogeological setting is shown in Figure 3, where the influence of the paleo-channel on the direction of the groundwater flow is evident for generating a marked groundwater divide.

A low permeability layer that consists prevalently of clay and silt locally alternating with peat lenses separates the unconfined (A0) from the underlying confined aquifer (A1) located about 16 m to 18 m below the surface [Rapti-Caputo 2008, Regione Emilia-Romagna GdL-liquefazione 2012]. The latter aquifer body consists of high permeability sediments (mainly sand), and has a thickness of between 4 m and 9 m.

### 4. Liquefaction-related effects

To evaluate the susceptibility to liquefaction of the soil deposits within the investigated area, we followed the procedure recommended by the Manual for Zonation on Seismic Geotechnical Hazards, prepared by The Technical Committee for Earthquake Geotechnical Engineering, TC4, of the International Society for Soil Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering, and published by The Japanese Geotechnical Society [TC4, 1999]. Initially, the relationship between the epicentral distance of liquefied sites and earthquake magnitude was examined. The epicentral distances of the villages of Sant’Agostino, San Carlo and Mirabello are 16 km, 17 km and 19 km, respectively (Figure 4). In addition, the epicentral distances of the liquefied area of Scorticchio-Burana, documented by ISPRA [2012], varied from 3 km to 11 km, while the zone situated to the north of Mirabello is about 17 km from the epicenter. According to several empirical relationships between magnitude and epicentral distance (Figure 4), these areas should be clearly considered as susceptible to liquefaction for an earthquake of ML 5.9, as they lie above most of the proposed curves, with the only possible exceptions of some sites in the Mirabello area.

Differences between the proposed empirical relationships (and hence the curves represented in Figure 4) are due to the different datasets used in these studies. For example, Ambraseys [1988] used a worldwide set of liquefaction data, while Papadopoulos and Lefkopoulos [1993] updated the dataset collected by Ambraseys with 30 new cases from Greek earthquakes and with three other ones. Wakamatsu...
[1993] extended the work of Kuribayashi and Tatsuoka [1975] by adding 46 new data points from Japanese earthquakes, while Galli [2000] and Aydan et al. [2000] re-evaluated the seismic parameters of Italian and Turkish earthquakes, respectively, using data from after 1900. The curve suggested by Papathanassiou et al. [2005] was constructed based on liquefaction phenomena that were reported after 1900 in Greece.

The sites where liquefaction-induced ground effects are documented generally consist of Holocene fluvial sediments and locally man-made deposits. The villages of Sant’A-gostino, San Carlo and Mirabello were founded upon the levees of a former reach of the Reno River [Graziani et al. 1992, Castiglioni et al. 1999, Caputo et al. 2012 (this volume)]. This was for the obvious reason that this site was (and still is) 3 m to 5 m higher with respect to the surrounding alluvial plain, which at that time (XV-XVIII century) was repeatedly flooded. Moreover, the presence of a locally sandy substratum associated with the crevasse deposits ascertained better stability for house foundations, in contrast to other sectors of the plain where the muddy material was not suitable for construction. Notwithstanding these historical and social reasons, these morphological, stratigraphic and hydrogeological conditions were characterized by: (i) topographic gradients; (ii) the presence of cohesionless deposits; and (iii) a depth of the water table of less than 1 m locally. This represented the perfect preparatory factors for liquefaction, just waiting for sufficient shaking to trigger the physical process. Eventually, on May 20, 2012, sufficient shaking occurred, and this caused locally important and widespread liquefaction phenomena.

At the surface, this produced small-scale structural damage, mainly due to lateral spreading (44° 48' 22" N, 11° 24' 46" E) and differential settling (44° 49' 29"N - 11° 27' 26"E).

Similar coseismic effects within old and/or former river channel zones are well documented for at least three recent earthquakes: Luzon Philippines in 1990, Niigaten Chuetsu-oki, Japan, in 2007 and Darfield, New Zealand, in 2010 [Wotherspoon et al. 2012]. This confirms that this type of morphological setting is favorable for earthquake-induced surface ruptures and liquefaction phenomena. The above conclusion is in agreement with the characterization of this type of deposit as highly susceptible to liquefaction during strong seismic shaking, based on the geological criteria that were suggested by Youd and Perkins [1978].

The samples collected during the field survey were analyzed in the Laboratory of Engineering Geology and Hydrogeology of the Department of Geology at the Aristotle University of Thessaloniki (Greece), to obtain the grain-size distribution, the liquid limit, and the plasticity index [e.g., Kramer 1996] (Figure 5). Based on the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS), samples are classified (see Table 1) as silty sand (SM) with non-plastic fine particles, and fine sand (SP-SM). The fines content of the samples analyzed varied from 6% to 12% for the SP-SM, and from 20% to 34% for the SM. The results suggest that the majority of the ejected samples had probably come from a unique sedimentary layer. The probable source level was identified using cone penetration tests and cores, which was carried out within the investigated area in a similar morphological, hydrogeological...
This source unit consists of a medium-to-coarse sand layer at a depth of 7 m to 8 m from the surface, which varies in thickness between 1.5 m and 4 m, and which probably recorded large crevasse splays and overbank flood episodes. Due to the stratigraphic setting and the hydrogeological framework, this layer represents a semi-confined aquifer that is characterized by a high degree of liquefaction susceptibility. The presence of a non-liquefied silty-clayey cap layer and the occurrence of some erosion during the upward flow along the dikes and conduits might have caused an overestimation of the fines content of the ejected material. Table 1 lists the results of the collected samples that were provided by the laboratory testing, including the fines content (%), the classification (USCS), and their geographic location.

The results of the grain-size analyses of the samples are also compared with the curves proposed by Tsuchida [1971] for soils at uniform grading, as shown in Figure 5. The grain-size distribution curves obtained fall within the suggested range for liquefaction conditions, therefore characterizing these deposits as susceptible to liquefaction in terms of their grain size.

### Table 1. Grain size characteristics of the collected material.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a/a</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>USCS classification</th>
<th>Fines content (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>44° 48' 16.85&quot;</td>
<td>11° 25' 08.30&quot;</td>
<td>SM</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>44° 48' 17.19&quot;</td>
<td>11° 25' 07.42&quot;</td>
<td>SM</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>44° 48' 17.73&quot;</td>
<td>11° 24' 37.49&quot;</td>
<td>SP-SM</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td>44° 48' 12.68&quot;</td>
<td>11° 24' 25.74&quot;</td>
<td>SP-SM</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5</td>
<td>44° 48' 10.61&quot;</td>
<td>11° 24' 30.99&quot;</td>
<td>SM</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6</td>
<td>44° 48' 08.99&quot;</td>
<td>11° 24' 33.14&quot;</td>
<td>SM</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S7</td>
<td>44° 48' 25.16&quot;</td>
<td>11° 24' 45.67&quot;</td>
<td>SM</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S8</td>
<td>44° 48' 20.28&quot;</td>
<td>11° 24' 57.20&quot;</td>
<td>SM</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S9</td>
<td>44° 47' 58.54&quot;</td>
<td>11° 24' 09.06&quot;</td>
<td>SM</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S10</td>
<td>44° 47' 55.64&quot;</td>
<td>11° 24' 04.41&quot;</td>
<td>SP-SM</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S11</td>
<td>44° 47' 50.16&quot;</td>
<td>11° 24' 03.68&quot;</td>
<td>SM</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S12</td>
<td>44° 48' 35.81&quot;</td>
<td>11° 25' 47.42&quot;</td>
<td>SM</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S13</td>
<td>44° 49' 37.12&quot;</td>
<td>11° 27' 41.68&quot;</td>
<td>SM</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S14</td>
<td>44° 49' 29.57&quot;</td>
<td>11° 27' 27.13&quot;</td>
<td>SM</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Concluding remarks

The May 20, 2012, Emilia earthquake triggered extensive liquefaction-induced ground effects at the surface and caused structural damage to unreinforced masonry and precast industrial buildings within the broader epicentral area. The post-earthquake field survey organized a few hours after the event focused on a zone 8 km long and 0.5 km wide, and it was devoted to the reporting of the co-seismic effects and to compile a map of their spatial distribution. The lengths of the liquefaction-induced surface ruptures varied from a few centimeters to more than 10 m, while the width variation of the cracks was not so great, ranging from a few centimeters to 30 cm. The ejection of sandy material occurred through conduits and fractures in farmed fields, although the most spectacular effects affected roads, pavements and water wells within the urbanized areas.

In addition, short interviews with the villagers were carried out concerning the ejection of fluids and sandy material. The outcomes of these interviews are that most of the sandy material within the urban areas of Mirabello, San Carlo and Sant’Agostino was ejected through water wells of large diameter, which are typical of the region, and exploited the first unconfined aquifer. The phenomenon started almost at the same time as the mainshock, and in the first seconds/minutes of the ejection process clear water came to the surface first, only afterwards mixed with sand.

Furthermore, samples of the ejected material were collected, to obtain grain-size distribution, liquid limits and plasticity indices. Based on the USCS, the materials were classified as SM with non-plastic fine particles, and SP-SM. The fines content of these deposits varied from 6% to 12% for the SP-SM and from 20% to 34% for the SM. The results suggest that the majority of the ejected samples had probably come from the same sedimentary layer.

A preliminary outcome of these field surveys is that the studied area has suffered large-scale liquefaction-induced manifestations that should be considered as secondary co-seismic effects (i.e., not directly associated with the upwards propagation of the fault rupture). Although liquefaction phe-
nomens were diffuse in the epicentral area and in general the liquefaction potential might be characterized as 'high' for recent alluvial plains, only small-scale liquefaction-induced structural damage was documented [Galli et al. 2012, Terrutlani et al. 2012, this volume].

Taking into account that the geological (stratigraphic, morphological, hydrogeological seismotectonic) setting of the investigated area is comparable with those of many other sectors of the broader Po Plain, as well as worldwide, and due to the abundance of liquefaction-related features produced here, the investigated case study represents a valuable example for future investigations devoted to better evaluation of liquefaction hazard.
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