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Abstract

Objective: To study whether the unexpected poor ovarian responders optimization of uterine receptivity with a flexible controlled ovarian hyper stimulation protocol based on the Biophysical Profile of the Uterus, has an impact on their reproductive performance. Design: Observational Prospective study. Setting(s): i) General hospital-IVF and Infertility Centre; ii) University hospital. Patient(s): 44 normogonadotrophic young women (26 - 38 yrs) with previous “unexpected” poor ovarian response underwent IVF/ICSI treatment on a protocol based on the Biophysical Profile of their uterus (Group A). The same patients were used as controls in a preceded IVF cycle on the conventional stimulation protocol. Intervention(s): None. Main outcome measure(s): Pregnancy, miscarriage and home take baby rates, amount and duration of gonadotropins required, number and quality of embryos resulted, Biophysical Profile of the Uterus score. Result(s). Treatment in Group A in comparison to Group B resulted in significantly larger number of eggs retrieved per patient, and improved fertilization rates and higher number of embryos/ET (p = 0.011, 0.010 and 0.034 respectively). Group A also demonstrated a trend for higher rates of clinical pregnancy (29.5% v.s. 15.9%), viable stage pregnancies ≥ 24 weeks (33.3% v.s. 20%) and home take babies (26.6% v.s. 16%). The amount of gonadotropins used per patient (IU) was similar in the two groups (p =
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0.264). Cancellation, implantation and miscarriage rates as well as embryos quality, although superior in the treatment Group A, showed no significant difference. The number of pregnancies achieved in Group A, were directly related with the score in the Biophysical Profile of the Uterus 12 point scale. Conclusion(s): Unexpected Poor Ovarian Responders on the flexible IVF/ICSI protocol (Group A), adjusting the management according to the Biophysical Profile of their uterus (duration of stimulation, day of HCG and day of embryo transfer), had a significantly better performance in comparison to the Group B managed on the conventional protocol in this difficult to manage and so far, rather understudied population.
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1. Introduction
Despite the enormous number of studies on poor ovarian responders published in the last 30 years, their management remains one of the biggest challenges and most controversial topics in Assisted Reproduction with less than satisfactory progress. According to recent reviews, the incidence of this condition, which also has a genetic predisposition, ranges between 9% and 24% [1]. A rate of 16.16% has been reported for “unexpected” Poor Ovarian Responders (PORs) [2]. There is no universally accepted definition, but most people refer to cases with less than 4 follicles and/or estradiol (E2) levels less than 500 pg/ml on the day of HCG administration. According to the ESHRE consensus in a first real attempt to find a common definition of “poor responders” [3], early detection of the problem with different methods of assessment of ovarian reserves, is of particular importance in order to select the most appropriate method of treatment. Today, the AFC (Andral Follicle Count) or AMH (Anti-Mullerian Hormone) measurement is recommended to predict ovarian response [4] [5], with the latter presenting as an excellent and equally promising test [6] [7]. Moreover, time interval up to 12 months does not seem to modify its predicting capacity [8]. For scientific purposes however, according to the 2011 ESHRE consensus3, more than one criterion should be contemporaneously presented.

“Unexpectedly poor responders” (unexplained) represent a heterogeneous group of patients. Different mechanisms have been proposed to explain poor ovarian response such as decreased number of FSH receptors in granulosa cells [9], defective signal transduction after FSH-receptor binding [10], anti-FSH IgA and IgG potentially exerting a local FSH antagonizing effect in maturing follicle [11], the presence of a specific FSH receptor-binding inhibitor in the follicular fluid [12] and possibly underlying occult theca cells deficiency [13]. Detailed definition of risk factors other than age has not been addressed in the 2011 ESHRE consensus on PORs. As underlined by Younis [14], “some are well established, some are still controversial and some novel candidates may be identified in the near future”. A revised and updated evaluation of the risk factors, in accordance with the current knowledge and evidence, as stated by Ferraretti and Gianaroli [15], may be needed in the near future.

Uterine factor infertility is multifactorial. Although it has been intensely investigated, it is still ascribed as “the last untreatable form of female infertility”, hence, the indication for transplantation of uterus with successful outcome, as reported recently [16].

Morphological appearance of the endometrium. The endometrium is one of the most dynamic structures in the body. Despite their anatomical, physiological and reproductive diversities, the mammals have an impressive synchronization between embryo and endometrial maturation during the “implantation window” (IW) of vital importance. This, to a major degree, is hormonal dependent, but also the result of an “embryo-maternal dialogue” at a systematic and molecular level [17]. High-resolution ultrasonography serves as a non-invasive method in assessing endometrial receptivity based on its pattern and thickness or, more accurately, on its volume measured by 3D/4D Ultrasound. Endometrial volume 2.5 ml or less is associated with significantly lower endometrial vascularity and consequently, receptivity [18]. The predictive value of endometrial thickness alone, in relation to its receptivity however, appears controversial and lacking specificity [19]. Much less attention has been paid as
a routine, on the importance of its changing morphology during the cycle. This is expressed by its evolving fine structure during the menstrual cycle and the degree of the echogenicity determined by the changes in the vascular and glandular elements, mainly by the secretions contained which reflect the degree of secretory transformation and preparedness for implantation. It has been demonstrated that echogenic patterns of the endometrium reflect histologic processes related to receptivity [20].

**Blood flow impedance of the uterus.** Main causes of IVF failures are poor egg quality and poor endometrial receptivity, both related to a common etiology: the impaired follicular and endometrial blood supply. Continuous and steadily increasing blood flow in the follicular wall is hormone dependent (E2, LH, HCG) and essential for the development and release of mature eggs for the formation of a receptive endometrium and the normal function of the Corpus Luteum (LDL, P). The blood supply to the endometrium is derived from branches of the uterine arteries. Emanating from the arcuate arteries (vide supra) are the radial arteries. These vessels run through the myometrium to just outside the endometrium where they form terminal branches of two types: straight and coiled. The straight branches, also known as the basal arteries, supply the basalis layer of the endometrium. The coiled branches, also known as the spiral arteries, traverse the endometrium and supply the functionalis layer. The endometrium and the spiral arteries, unlike the basal arteries, are responsive to the hormonal changes of the menstrual cycle and undergo cyclical transformations. When the spiral arterioles are inadequately developed the chances for a normal implantation may be reduced [21].

The introduction of Color Doppler processing in trans-vaginal probes apart from the anatomical image of the internal genital organs, has provided means of assessing their function as this is expressed by their blood flow changes in the reproductive organs, which vary considerably during the menstrual cycle, and enable us to depict functional abnormalities directly related to the reproductive status of the woman and not only. It was Rajat Goswamy and Patrick Steptoe who first reported that <poor mid secretory uterine artery response expressed as absence of qualitative changes in diastolic flow velocity were indicative of poor uterine perfusion>, and was considered a cause of infertility [22]. An observation confirmed histologically following endometrial biopsies [23]-[25].

In the conventional Controlled Ovarian Hyperstimulation (c-COH: at least 2 follicles of ≥16 mm diameter and E2 levels of 150 - 200 pgr/ml per follicle) one of the main criteria before triggering ovulation has been the size of the follicles, which doesn’t necessarily reflect the stage of its maturity and the degree of endometrial receptivity. As a simple example we refer to follicles stimulated with Clomiphene Citrate where their size tends to be disproportionately larger in relation to the utero-ovarian blood flow impedance (PI, RI), a parameter with higher predictive value [26] [27]. It was demonstrated that progressive decrease in the pulsatility index (PI) of the uterine arteries during the second half of the menstrual cycle was associated with successful IVF pregnancies [28]. Further studies reported that the uterus is likely to be unreceptive when uterine artery PI is ≥3 and resistance index (RI ≥ 0.95) [29]-[32]

**Vascularity of the uterus.** Myometrial and endometrial perfusion is directly related to the aetiology of uterine factor infertility. Using dynamic methods like transvaginal color and power flow Doppler images as well as 3-D/4-D ultrasound, it has been demonstrated that detectable myometrial blood flow was associated with higher implantation and clinical pregnancy rates [33]-[36]. The same applies for the endometrium although its prognostic value remains somehow controversial. The parameters mentioned above, not always adequately synchronized, have been extensively studied and analyzed but rather independently and, not infrequently, based on a single measurement [37] [38]. Triggering ovulation too early or too late reduces success rates. The selection of a more optimal day for HCG administration is of paramount importance and this is more likely when based on a combined evaluation of all parameters related with uterine receptivity.

Since the publication of the Bologna criteria in 2011, aspects of them have been cited several times, whilst others have been criticized. It has been suggested for instance that, patients with “advanced age” or any other known risk factor *i.e.* ovarian surgery for endometriosis or other pathology, should not be classified as PORs according to the above criteria, but more properly be defined as “expected poor responders”, instead [14] [15].

The present study focuses on a selected, rare group of normo-gonadotrophic young PORs under 38 yrs (34.0 ± 4.2) with no evidence of premature menopause, as included in the afore mentioned criteria. The purpose of the study was to study whether, in addition to the criteria used in the c-COH, modification of the management (duration of COH, day of HCG injection, day of egg collection and embryo transfer-ET) based on the Biophysical profile of the uterus (BPU) (*Table 1*), improves the IVF/ICSI outcome in these, difficult to manage, “unexplained” PORs. Unlike previous reports, contemporaneous and repeat evaluation of all BPU variables related to uterine receptivity was monitored (*Table 1*).
Table 1. The Biophysical Profile of the Uterus (BPU 12 point scale).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) Endometrial thickness (a, b, c)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness a: &lt;7 mm</td>
<td>1 point,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b: 7 - 10 mm</td>
<td>2 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c: ≥11 mm</td>
<td>3 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Endometrial morphology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type A: immature trilaminar endometrium with three distinct zones of hyperechoic outer and inner layer and hypo-echoic dark parenchyma*</td>
<td>2 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type B: preovulatory trilaminar endometrium, with iso-echoic parenchyma*</td>
<td>3 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type C: over-mature, hyper-echoic endometrium with loss of the three zone structure</td>
<td>1 point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Pulsatility Index of Uterine Arteries (UAPI) (mean value of both uterine arteries)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree 1: PI: &lt;2</td>
<td>2 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree 2: PI: 2 - 3</td>
<td>3 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree 3: PI: &gt;3</td>
<td>1 point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) Vascularity of myometrium-vascularisation of endometrium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 1: vessels detectable only in the outer half of the myometrium</td>
<td>1 point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 2: vessels detectable throughout the myometrium</td>
<td>2 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 3: vessels detectable up to the basal membrane of the endometrium or within the endometrium</td>
<td>3 points</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* in comparison to the myometrium.

2. Materials and Methods

A total of 44 normogonadotrophic young infertile women with a previous history of “unexpected” (unexplained) poor ovarian response were enrolled in a prospective observational study (Group A). The selection criteria used were: specific group of infertile women ≤38 years of age (34.0 ± 4.2) with poor ovarian response despite their normal hormonal profile (normogonadotrophic). The duration of stimulation, the day of HCG administration, egg collection and day of embryo transfer, were mainly determined by the uterine receptivity score of a 12 point scale based on the combined assessment of the BPU variables individually (Table 1). With the aim to achieve the most optimal uterine receptivity conditions prior to ET, a flexible protocol was followed (Figure 1). If, on the day of HCG administration, according to the c-COH, the BPU total score was not satisfactory (cut off point ≤ 6), the stimulation was prolonged for 24 to 72 hrs before triggering ovulation, with a daily hormonal (E2, LH) and sonographic re-assessment of the BPU variables in an attempt to improve them further. The same applied for the ET, which again varied accordingly between day 2 and 5 in an attempt to coincide at the best possible with the implantation window (IW) as this was indicated by the BPU indices. The aim was to achieve a pre-ovulatory endometrium (Type 2), PI between 2 and 3, good vascularity myometrium (Grade 2 - 3) preferably associated with detectable sub and endometrial vascularization (Table 1). At the presence of an over-mature endometrium on the day of egg collection (about to lose its trilaminar structure), early ET was preferred (on Day 2) or embryo freezing was decided in cases with complete loss of the three line structure endometrium-invariably incompatible with implantation (over-mature Type 3).

A General Electric Voluson E8 ultrasound machine was used by a single examiner for the sonographic evaluation of the uterine receptivity based on the BPU variables. Blood sample was taken on the 2nd day of the cycle for E2 and progesterone (P) followed by 150 - 300 IU/day gonadotropin stimulation from day 3 of the menstrual cycle (rec-FSH/HMG). In view of their previous history of unexpected POR Group A patients were all treated with GnRH antagonist protocol (ganirelix 0.25 mg/0.5 ml, Orgalutran/INN-Cetrotide 0.25 mg. Cetrobyte, Europa).
The same patients were used as controls (n = 44) in a preceded IVF cycle stimulated with the conventional COH protocol (c-COH): ≥2 follicles, ≥16 mm in size, 150 - 200 pg/ml per follicle) (Group B).

3. Statistics

All data were analyzed with the statistical package SPSS (version 17.0; SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). Data are presented as percentages for categorical variables and as mean and standard deviation for continuous variables. Differences in categorical and continuous variables between groups were assessed with the $\chi^2$ test and the independent samples t-test, respectively. In all cases, a two-tailed $p < 0.05$ was considered significant.

4. Results

Table 2 shows the clinical characteristics and IVF/ICSI-ET performance in the two treatment cycles (Groups A and B). The indications for IVF/ICSI remained the same in both groups: Unexplained infertility (40.90%), male (29.54%), tubal (13.63%) and others (15.9%). There was no significant difference in the total amount of gonadotropins required, the peak Estradiol levels (E2) reached, the cancellation and implantation rates. In view of the previous history of unexpected POR, all Group A patients (BPU protocol) underwent ICSI procedure, except 2 with TESE/ICSI. In Group B (preceded cycle on the c-COH protocol within an interim period of 6.5 and 8 months), 12 had classical IVF, 28 ICSI, and 2 TESE/ICSI treatment (the same ones as in Group A). Due to the relatively short interim period between the two treatment cycles (4 - 9 months) basal hormonal profile was as per Group B: basal FSH and LH levels within normal limits (8.8 ± 2.6 mIU/ml and 6.7 ± 2.3 mIU/ml, respectively) and Anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH) varied between 0.6 and 21.8 ng/ml (7.0 ± 6.1 ng/ml).

In the present study, treatment based on the biophysical profile of the uterus (Group A) increased the number of oocytes retrieved per patient, improved fertilization rates and number of embryos transferred per ET ($p = 0.011$, $0.01$ and $0.034$ respectively), although embryo quality was similar in both treatment cycles (Grade A embryos: $p = 0.285$). There was also a definite trend for higher rates of clinical pregnancy, viable stage pregnancy (≥24 weeks) and home take babies, although these differences did not reach significance (Table 2).

In Group A, clinical pregnancies increased parallel to the BPU score (Figure 2). Women with BPU score 11 -
Figure 2. Clinical pregnancy rates according to BPU score categories. In the study group (A), there was a marked tendency for clinical pregnancies to increase parallel to the BPU score (12 point scale).

Table 2. IVF/ICSI results in normo-gonadotrophic young poor responders (unexpected PORs).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A (Study Group)*</th>
<th>Group B (Control Group)**</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of cases</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>34.0 ± 4.2</td>
<td>33.5 ± 3.9</td>
<td>0.531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>22.9 ± 2.8</td>
<td>22.4 ± 2.6</td>
<td>0.403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infertility duration (years)</td>
<td>5.0 ± 2.9</td>
<td>4.6 ± 3.3</td>
<td>0.586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous IVF attempts</td>
<td>2.4 ± 1.2</td>
<td>1.4 ± 1.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2 on HCG day (pg/ml)</td>
<td>573.5 ± 363.9</td>
<td>451.8 ± 238.4</td>
<td>0.068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonadotropins used per patient (IU)</td>
<td>2704.9 ± 501.2</td>
<td>2580.1 ± 538.2</td>
<td>0.264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oocytes retrieved per patient</td>
<td>2.3 ± 1.2</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fertilization rate</td>
<td>67.3% (68/101)</td>
<td>46.6% (34/73)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancellation rate</td>
<td>31.8% (14/44)</td>
<td>40.9% (18/44)</td>
<td>0.506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of embryos transferred (total)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients with embryo transfer (ET)</td>
<td>68.2% (30/44)</td>
<td>54.5% (25/44)</td>
<td>0.274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of embryos/embryo transfer (ET)</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implantation rate</td>
<td>30% (15/50)</td>
<td>20.5% (7/34)</td>
<td>0.478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical pregnancies:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per Cycle</td>
<td>29.5% (13/44)</td>
<td>15.9% (7/44)</td>
<td>0.203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per Embryo Transfer (ET)</td>
<td>43.4% (13/30)</td>
<td>28.0% (7/25)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscarriages</td>
<td>23.0% (3/13)</td>
<td>28.5% (2/7)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viable pregnancies (&gt;24 wks/embryo transfer)</td>
<td>33.3% (10/30)</td>
<td>20% (5/25) (1 NND@26th wk)</td>
<td>0.423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home take babies/embryo transfer</td>
<td>26.6% (8/30)</td>
<td>16% (4/25)</td>
<td>0.531</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Group A: Study group: BPU treatment protocol; **Group B: Control group: Conventional treatment protocol (c-COH = ≥2 follicles, ≥16 mm in size, 150 - 200 pg/ml per follicle).
12 had higher pregnancy rates than those with BPU score 7 - 10 or ≤6.

5. Discussion

Up to 85% of the embryos replaced into the uterine cavity fail to implant [39]. This, in addition to the quality of the embryos, very much depends on the overall uterine receptivity and the timing of the embryo transfer (ET) [40] [41]. Since the introduction of Assisted Reproduction there has been a significant progress in improving ovarian stimulation, oocyte retrieval, IVF and embryo transfer. Furthermore, culturing embryos up to the blastocyst stage with the introduction of sequential culture media enabled us to select embryos more competent to implant. On the other hand, combined assessment and/or improvement of the multi variant uterine receptivity based mostly on advanced and repeat sonographic analyses, especially that of the endometrium, has not been established accordingly on a routine basis because, among others, it is time consuming and requires the involvement of specially trained and readily available sonographers.

In this study we tried to look into whether the management of young normo-gonadotropic (unexpected) infertile poor responding women (un-PORs) an uncommon and rather understudied population as already stated, improved their reproductive performance after a combined evaluation of certain uterine receptivity variables in the BPU (Table 1). A flexible protocol was deployed with the aim to achieve the optimal uterine conditions before triggering ovulation and to determine the most favourable day for embryo transfer in an attempt to identify or be close to the IW.

So far, in view of the unsatisfactory reproductive performance of this particularly challenging group of patients (un-PORs) and the recognized fact that no single regimen will benefit all PORs, a variety of regimes have been proposed.

Crosignany et al. [42] suggested that high initial doses of urinary FSH could be successfully used to achieve follicular growth in 70% of prior PORs, a view shared by others, too [43]. Similar studies though, did not confirm such a beneficial effect concluding that little benefit would be expected from raising the initial daily dose to >450 IU/d and the options of egg donation or adoption should therefore be considered [44]. Increasing the dose of r-FSH/HMG in a second stimulation cycle after first unsuccessful attempt, it has been shown it will add only to the cost and discomfort of the treatment and might even adversely affect pregnancy rates [45]-[47]. In certain cases, however, poor response may be associated with suboptimal exposure to gonadotrophins, for example in obese women [48] or the presence of FSH receptor subtypes which render the follicles less sensitive to exogenous gonadotrophins [49].

In this study, the maximum daily dose did not exceed the above mentioned limits. A small increase in comparison to the control cycle, was due to the prolongation of the treatment in 9 cases for the reasons explained (p = 0.264; Table 2).

The reported beneficial effect of dehydro-epiandrosterone (DHEA) therapy on improvement of reproduction outcome [50]-[52] remains uncertain. A review of the previously published studies between 2000 and 2014 does not provide clear evidence in favour. Interestingly, one prospective randomized study showed that DHEA might be beneficial but in women without diminished ovarian reserve (normal responders) [53].

With regards to supplementation of growth hormone, although the success rates are still not as high and with a considerable cost involved, several studies have shown improved IVF live birth success rates in PORs [54] [55]. Since the early application of genetics into ART there have been further advances in the techniques and applications. Zech and colleagues [56] recently proposed preimplantation genetic screening (PGS) following accumulation cycles by repeated COH with subsequent freezing of blastocysts, as a promising new approach for low responders, especially in cases of advanced maternal age. Finally, although not universally accepted [57], patients with non-pathological ovarian reserve tests and with previous “unexpected” POR, possibly due to an underlying occult theca cells deficiency, seem to benefit from r-LH addition in subsequent cycles without the need to increase the r-FSH starting dose [13].

6. Conclusion

In the present study, treatment based on the biophysical profile of the uterus increased the number of oocytes retrieved per patient, and improved fertilization rates and number of embryos/ET significantly. There has been a marked tendency for clinical pregnancies to increase parallel to the score in the BPU scale in Group A. The fact that there was no significant difference in the egg quality in the two treatment cycles (p = 0.285) indicated that
the improved outcome in Group A could be attributed to the increased uterine receptivity resulted from the protocol applied in this group. Furthermore, a clear trend for higher rates of clinical pregnancy, viable stage pregnancy (≥24 weeks) and home take babies was recorded, although these differences did not reach significance. Despite their striking numerical difference, it is possible that our study has limited power to show a significant difference between the two groups due to the relatively small sample size of these rather than infrequently encountered patients with “unexpected” POR that they represent only about 1 in 6 of the total PORs [2]. Only Caucasian normogonadotropic, unexpectedly infertile women from a single centre with a rather heterogeneous etiology that had not been specifically addressed in the 2011 ESHRE consensus on PORs [3], were evaluated in this study. Therefore, larger studies are needed to confirm these promising results. The findings in this study indicate that individualized “fine tuning” of the BPU variables may improve uterine receptivity and contribute in still achieving reasonable pregnancy rates before resorting to egg donation.
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